

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/811,422	03/25/2004	Peter M. Pani	01207P004C5	2650
7:	7590 03/07/2005		EXAMINER	
Daniel E. Ovanezian			TAN, VIBOL	
BLAKELY, SC	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR	& ZAFMAN LLP		
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2819	
Los Angeles (CA 90025-1026			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A·H
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/811,422	PANI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vibol Tan	2819	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ippears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres.	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commur BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	March 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is FINAL .	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	•	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 7-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	-, - ,	, ,
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-18	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		· ·	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	n received in this National Stag) e
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	: received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
I) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) \boxtimes Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	98) 5) 🔲 Notice of l	(s <i>)</i> /Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152))
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/25/04</u> .	´ 6) ☐ Other:	·	

Application/Control Number: 10/811,422

Art Unit: 2819

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claimed recitation of "the interface logic circuits collectively operable to prevent contention of signals on the internal three-statable bus" and "preventing contention of signals on the internal three-statable bus collectively using the plurality of interface logic circuits" were not described in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sasaki (U. S. PAT. 5,504,440).

In claim 1, Sasaki teaches all claimed features in Figs. 2-4, a programmable logic device, comprising: an internal three-statable bus (23, 27); a plurality of driving elements (52s, 53s) coupled to the internal three-statable bus, each driving element operable to drive the internal three-statable bus; and a plurality of interface logic circuits

Application/Control Number: 10/811,422

Art Unit: 2819

(62s, 64s), each (62a or 62b or 64a or 64b) of the plurality of interface logic circuits coupled to a different one (52a or 52b or 53a or 53b) of the plurality of driving elements, each interface logic circuit (62a or 62b is a tri-state driver) operable to determine whether the internal three-statable bus is being driven.

In claim 2, Sasaki further teaches the programmable logic device of claim 1, further comprising support circuitry (58, 59) coupled to the internal three-statable bus (23, 27) and the plurality of driving elements (52s, 53s).

In claim 7, Sasaki teaches all claimed features in Figs. 2-4, a method, comprising: driving an internal three-statable bus (23, 27) using at least one of a plurality of driving elements (52s, 53s); determining whether the internal three-statable bus is being driven using at least one of a plurality interface logic circuits (62s, 64s), each (62a) of the plurality of interface logic circuits coupled to a different one (52a or 53a) of the plurality of driving elements.

4. Claims 4-6 appear to comprise allowable subject matters.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vibol Tan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1811. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:00 AM-4:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike J. Tokar can be reached on (571) 272-1812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,422

Art Unit: 2819

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

VIBOL TAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER